TO: Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

	P.O. Box 1450 dria, VA 22313-1450	ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK				
filed in the U.S. Distri	_	S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been couthern District of Florida on the following involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):				
DOCKET NO. 10-14302-CH-KHM	DATE FILED I	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Southern District of Florida				
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT				
Enpat, Inc		Adverture Air, Inc.				
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK				
1 6,328,260 BI	12 11 2011	Wing Span Modification Kit				
2						
3						
4						
5						
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading						
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK				
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK					
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
In the abov	e—entitled case, the following dec	cision has been rendered or judgement issued:				
Distrissed without Prejudice. Case Close. 1/27/2011 DE#7						
CLERK Steven M. L	arimore (BY) t	DEPUTY CLERK DATE 2 1 2011				

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

- (3) Deeming this to be an "exceptional" case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Plaintiff Enpat to an award of its reasonable attorney fees, expenses and costs in this action;
- (4) Awarding compensatory damages based on reasonable royalties, lost profits, reduced profits, prejudgment interest, and/or for any other available damages based on any form of recoverable economic injury sustained by Plaintiff Enpat as a result of Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284;
- (5) Awarding permanent injunctive relief enjoining the use of any instrumentality that infringes any of the claims of the '260 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §283;
- (6) Awarding Plaintiff Enpat treble damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284;
- (7) Awarding Plaintiff Enpat costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §285; and
- (8) Awarding Plaintiff Enpat such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted.

DATED this 8th day of November, 2010.

BY: s/ Kelly G. Swartz

Kelly G. Swartz, Trial Counsel

Florida Bar No. 0057563

Robert A. Lynch

Florida Bar No. 0026459

Hayworth, Chaney, & Thomas P.A.

202. N. Harbor City Blvd., Suite 300

Melbourne, Florida 32935

Phone: (321) 253-3300

Facsimile: (321) 253-2546

rlynch@hctlaw.com

kswartz@hctlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Enpat, Inc.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Enpat, Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED this 8th day of November, 2010.

BY: s/Kelly G. Swartz
Kelly G. Swartz, Trial Counsel
Florida Bar No. 0057563
Robert A. Lynch
Florida Bar No. 0026459
Hayworth, Chaney, & Thomas P.A.
202. N. Harbor City Blvd., Suite 300
Melbourne, Florida 32935
Phone: (321) 253-3300
Facsimile: (321) 253-2546
rlynch@hctlaw.com
kswartz@hctlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Enpat, Inc.

⇔JS 44 (Rev. 2/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

the civil about another (abb in	J				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
Enpat, Inc., a Florida Con	rporation	Adventure Air, In	Adventure Air, Inc.		
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Brevard (CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Ad			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT		
Valle G. Swartz: Howwort	h, Chaney & Thomas, P.A.; 202 N. I		LAND INVOLVED.		
City Blvd., Ste. 300; Melb	ourne, FL 32935; (321) 253-3300	Attorneys (If Known)			
(d) Check County Where Actio	n Arose: I MIAMI-DADE I MONROE II	BROWARD O PALM BEACH O MA	ARTIN O ST. LUCIE O INDIA	AN RIVER OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place un "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF I		(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
□ 1 U.S. Government			PTF DEF PTF DEF		
Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item I 	Citizen of Another State [11]	Citizen of Another State		
		Citizen or Subject of a O Foreign Country	3 🗘 3 Foreign Nation	D 6 D 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreolosure 230 Recovery of Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Product L Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle 770 Other Fire 355 Motor Vehicle 770 Other Personal 18	INJURY Injury-	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 H1A (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIW C/DIW W (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	400 State Repportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes Appeal to District	
Original 2 R. Proceeding St	emoved from a Re-filed-(see VI below)	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 anoth Reopened (spec	sferred from the district sifty) Geographic American Services of the district sifty of the district situation o		
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	(See instructions second page): JUDGE See A	ttached	DOCKET NUMBER Se	ee Attached	
VIII. CAUSE OF ACTI	LENGTH OF TRIAL via 4 days of	ent estimated (for both sides to try entire ca	sc)	sdictional statutes unless if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT: ABOVE INFORMATION IS		e of attorney of record	JURY DEMAND:	Yes 🗆 No	
THE BEST OF MY KNOWI	LEDGE		November Nov	er 8, 2010	
	Carried States	AMOUNT	RECEIPT #	IFP	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ENPAT, INC.,
a Florida Corporation,
Plaintiff,

v.

Adventure Air, Inc.,
a Florida Corporation,
Defendant.

PLAINTIFF ENPAT, INC.'s
COMPLAINT AND
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
NUMBER OF SOUGHT

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
SOUGHT

Plaintiff, Enpat, Inc. brings this action for injunctive relief and for the recovery of damages and attorney's fees and costs arising from infringement of United States Patent No. 6,328,260 ("the '260 patent") against Defendant Adventure Air, Inc., and alleges as grounds therefore the following:

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

1. The claims alleged below are brought under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §1 et seq. This Court has

original and exclusive jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1338(a).

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Enpat, Inc. ("Enpat") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, with its corporate headquarters and principal place of business at 610 Baytree Drive, Melbourne, FL 32940.
- 3. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. is, on information and belief, a Florida corporation with a principle place of business at 1614 Assembly Point Drive, Sebring, Florida.

PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. and subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 5. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b).

GENERAL ALLEGATONS

- 6. Lake Amphibious Seaplanes ("Lake Aircraft") are single engine boat-hulled pusher-propeller amphibian aircraft.
- 7. On or around October 6, 1999, the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") published a notice of proposed rulemaking ("NPRM") regarding Lake Aircraft. *See* 64 FR 54234.
- 8. The NPRM would have required every owner of a Lake Aircraft to remove the wings from the aircraft, inspect for cracks in the wing spar caps and doublers, and repair any cracks as necessary.
- 9. The anticipated costs for compliance with the NPRM would have been approximately \$40,000.00 or more per airplane.
- 10. In response to the NPRM, non-party Aerofab, Inc. ("Aerofab") developed a wing spar modification kit that could be installed on Lake Aircraft to address the problem identified in the NPRM while avoiding the cost, expense, and risk associated with removing the aircraft wings.
- 11. Aerofab sought and obtained FAA approval of the wing spar modification kit.

- 12. Aerofab developed and obtained approval of the wing spar modification kit at its own significant expense.
- 13. On or around June 20, 2000, the FAA issued an Airworthiness Directive (AD) requiring owners of all affected aircraft to install the wing spar modification kit developed by Aerofab.
- 14. The inventors of the wing spar modification kit filed for patent protection under the Patent Laws of the United States on October 25, 2000.
- 15. Upon information and belief, non-party JCM Aerodesign Limited ("JCM") manufactured or distributed a wing spar modification kit ("Knock-Off Kit") that was a copy of the Aerofab wing spar modification kit.
- 16. JCM copied Aerofab's approved wing spar modification kit.
- 17. Upon information and belief, JCM obtained FAA approval to use the Knock-Off Kit as an alternative method of compliance with the AD.

- 18. On December 11, 2001, the '260 patent, entitled "Wing Spar Modification Kit" was granted to inventors Jack M. Tarbox and Philip J. Baker, the inventors of the Aerofab wing spar modification kit. A copy of the '260 patent is attached as Exhibit "A", and incorporated herein by reference.
- 19. In general, the claims of the '260 patent relate to a kit to be installed on a Lake Aircraft to reduce or prevent cracking in the wing spars.
- 20. Subsequent to issuance of the '260 patent, all right, title and interest in and to the '260 patent were assigned to Revo, Inc., a New Hampshire corporation.
- 21. Revo, Inc. then assigned all right, title and interest in and to the '260 patent to Plaintiff Enpat, which is now the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the '260 patent including the right to recover for past infringement.
- 22. Plaintiff Enpat is an active licensor and enforcer of the '260 patent, having granted licenses thereto.

- 23. On or around, April 28, 2001 a Knock-Off Kit was installed on a LA4/200 aircraft which is now owned by Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.. *See* Exhibit B.
- 24. Upon information and belief, Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. uses, in the Southern District of Florida, one or more airplanes that have Knock-Off Kits installed.
- 25. The Knock-Off Kit infringes one or more claims of the '260 patent.
- 26. The '260 patent has completed a reexamination proceeding with each and every claim remaining valid, enforceable, and unchanged.
- 27. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s use of the aircraft is a direct violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 because, upon information and belief, it contains the Knock-Off Kit.
- 28. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. is acting without authorization or license from Plaintiff Enpat or any prior owner of the patent.
- 29. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s infringing activities have caused Plaintiff Enpat a compensable injury and are likely to

cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff Enpat unless Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s infringement is enjoined.

- 30. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. is willfully infringing the '260 patent.
- 31. Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282, the '260 patent enjoys a presumption of validity.

COUNT ONE

PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,328,260 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §271(a)

- 32. Paragraphs one (1) through thirty-one (31) are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. has used, and will continue to use, in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, the Knock-Off Kit that infringes one or more claims of the '260 patent.
- 34. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s Knock-Off Kit infringes one or more of the claims of the '260 patent.

- 35. By using the Knock-Off Kit, Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. has directly infringed, and will continue to directly infringe, one or more claims of the '260 patent under 35 U.S.C. §271(a).
- 36. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s infringement of the '260 patent has been and continues to be willful and deliberate.
- 37. As a direct and proximate consequence of the acts and practices of Defendant Adventure Air, Inc., Plaintiff Enpat has been, is being, and will continue to be injured in its business and property rights, and has suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer injury and damages for which it is entitled to relief under 35 U.S.C. §284.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Enpat prays for the entry of a judgment from this Court:

- (1) Declaring, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271, that Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. has directly infringed one or more of the claims of the '260 patent;
- (2) Declaring that Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. has willfully infringed one or more claims of the '260 patent;